

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/14/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/848,046	05/03/2001	Yasumori Hino	YAMAP0594USA	5579	
7590 04/14/2004			EXAMINER		
Mark D. Saralino			ANGEBRANNDT, MARTIN J		
RENNER, OTT	O, BOISSELLE & SK	LAR, LLP			
Nineteenth Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1621 Euclid Avenue			1756		
Cleveland, OH	44115-2191				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	·
Advisory Action	09/848,046	HINO ET AL.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Martin J Angebranndt	1756	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	Iress
THE REPLY FILED 31 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applica 1) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	ly to a ation in
PERIOD FOR R	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Of timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth a later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply frice later than three months after the main	ng date of the final rejecting the FINAL REJECTION. FR 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriation of the fee.	ion. See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) X they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	ıs.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a so	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: §		idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims v			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>7-12</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
10. Other:		1/	

Martin J Angebranndt Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1756

Whitey

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The language is newly proposed and speicifally clouds the issue with respect to the 35 USC 112 rejection. The language describes the trace as being ".... a data region in a track"

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The position of the examiner is that the use of the second bean in forming a tracking groove is clearly disclosed in the specification, while the formation of pits is not. The applicant has requested that this rejection be obviated, but it seems that the issue may merely be shifted to the independent claims in the propsed amendment. The formation of clock information is clearly data, but not user data. The proposed amendment does not provide a distinction over the references applied.

2